

Public Document Pack

Minutes of the meeting of the **DOVER JOINT TRANSPORTATION BOARD** held at the Council Offices, Whitfield on Thursday, 21 February 2019 at 6.00 pm.

Present:

Chairman: Councillor B W Butcher

Councillors: P M Beresford
T A Bond
P M Brivio
S S Chandler
N J Collor
D G Cronk
A Friend
P D Jull
G Lymer
D P Murphy
M J Ovenden
G Rapley

Also Present: Mr M R Eddy (Deal Town Council)
Mr K Gowland (Kent Association of Local Councils)

Officers: Dover District Manager (Kent County Council Highways)
Head of Commercial Services
Transport and Parking Services Manager
Democratic Services Officer

29 ELECTION OF CHAIRMAN

In the absence of both the Chairman and the Vice-Chairman, it was proposed, duly seconded and

RESOLVED: That Councillor B W Butcher be elected to chair the meeting.

30 APOLOGIES

Apologies for absence were received from District Councillor J S Back, County Councillor S C Manion, and Mr P I Carter (Sandwich Town Council) and Mr A Minns (Kent Association of Local Councils).

31 APPOINTMENT OF SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS

It was noted that, in accordance with Rule 4 of the Council's Procedure Rules, Councillor B W Butcher had been appointed as a substitute Member for Councillor J S Back.

32 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

33 MINUTES

Subject to their amendment to show that Councillor P M Wallace was in attendance from Minute No 16 onwards only, the minutes of the meeting held on 6 December 2018 were approved as a correct record and signed by the Chairman.

34 RESIDENTS' PARKING SCHEME PROPOSAL: PRIORY HILL AND PRIORY GROVE

The Transport and Parking Services Manager (TPSM) introduced the report, reminding Members that the Board had resolved at its previous meeting that further consultation should be undertaken. The outcome of the latest consultation was that the majority of respondents had indicated that they were not in favour of the proposed scheme.

In response to concerns raised by Councillor P M Brivio, the TPSM clarified that the responses submitted for both consultations had been taken into account in order for Officers to understand the change in residents' views. This was particularly important given that the consultations had been carried out so close together. Whilst she sympathised with the ward Member, Councillor S S Chandler agreed with the Officer, arguing that it would be wrong to implement the scheme when there had been a consistent trend over time of residents voting against it. Councillor N J Collor supported this view, commenting that some residents might not have bothered to vote a third time so it was right to take previous responses into account.

RESOLVED: That it be recommended that the withdrawal of the proposal to introduce a residents' parking scheme in Priory Hill, as detailed at Appendix A to the report, be agreed.

35 PROPOSED LIMITED WAITING: ELIZABETH STREET, DOVER

The TPSM introduced the report which set out proposals to convert some of the existing double yellow lines to limited waiting times in Elizabeth Street. This measure was designed to address a problem with lorries parking overnight in the street and regularly obstructing the entrance to a private car park. The Board was advised that double yellow lines prohibited any vehicle from parking. However, by replacing them with limited waiting times it was hoped that cars would park there, thus preventing lorries from doing so.

RESOLVED: That the limited waiting proposal, as set out at Appendix A to the report, be formally advertised and, in the event that no objections are received, that it be recommended for sealing by Kent County Council so as to bring it into effect. Any objections received in respect of the formal advertisement will be referred back to a future meeting of the Board for further consideration, prior to making any final recommendations.

36 HIGHWAY WORKS PROGRAMME 2018/19

The Dover District Manager (DDM) presented the report which provided an update on schemes that had been programmed for delivery in 2018/19. She advised that the 'No Cycling' markings paid for by Councillors T A Bond and D P Murphy had not been completed to Kent County Council's (KCC) specification or satisfaction and would therefore be re-done. In response to a query from Councillor Chandler, she advised that the resurfacing of the road to Stourmouth had not been included in the report as the works were still subject to final budget calculations. To the best of her knowledge, they would be going ahead. She reported that KCC's drainage team

had not been aware of the proposed highway works to the ER261 at Alkham, but were now talking to those responsible.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

37 EXCLUSION OF THE PRESS AND PUBLIC

It was moved by Councillor D G Cronk, duly seconded and

RESOLVED: That, under Section 100(A)(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the remainder of the business on the grounds that the item to be considered involved the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraphs 1 and 2 of Part I of Schedule 12A of the Act.

38 APPLICATIONS FOR DISABLED PERSONS' PARKING BAYS

The Highways and Parking Team Leader presented the report which outlined details of seven disabled parking bay applications, and proposed the removal of three bays that were no longer needed. Applications A to G met all the criteria and their formal advertisement was therefore recommended. However, Application H had proved contentious and the Board was requested to make a recommendation on this. Councillor Brivio expressed concerns that the Board was being asked to take a view on an application without having sight of residents' views. Councillor D G Cronk suggested that the application should be deferred for more information on why the proposed bay had been moved from one side of the road to the other.

RESOLVED: (a) That it be recommended that consideration of Application H be deferred for further information, including an explanation as to why the proposed bay had been moved from one side of the road to the other.

(b) That it be noted:

- (i) That Applications A to G would be formally advertised and, in the event that no objections are received, they will be sealed by Kent County Council. (Should any objections be received during the consultation process, the applications will be discussed with the Chairman of the Dover Joint Transportation Board for a final decision.)
- (ii) That Items I to K would be formally advertised with the intention of removing them and, in the event that no objections are received, their removal will be sealed by Kent County Council.

The meeting ended at 6.42 pm.